UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/791,140 | 03/02/2004 | Ge Wang | 21087.0026U2 | 3175 |
| 23859 Ballard Spahr L | 7590 10/20/201 LP | 0 | EXAMINER | |
| SUITE 1000 999 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309-3915 | | | LUONG, PETER | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3777 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/20/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|--|--|
| | 10/791,140 | WANG ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Peter Luong | 3737 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address | |
| • • | LV IS SET TO EVEIDE AMO | NTU(C) OD TUUDTY (20) DAVC | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reput d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTUITE, cause the application to become ABA | ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| | is action is non-final. | | |
| Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under | • | | |
| · | Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. | 11, 403 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11,13-26 and 28-90 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31-90 is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11,13-26 and 28-30 is/are rejected for claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject to restric | awn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration. | ccepted or b) objected to be e drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap iority documents have been r au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | plication No eceived in this National Stage | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s) | mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application | |

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-11, 13-26, and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warren et al. (Warren et al., "Combined Ultrasound and Fluorescence Spectroscopy for Physico-Chemical Imaging of Atherosclerosis". IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering 42(2) (1995): 121-132) in view of Tomography Definition from Dictionary.com and McCarthy (US 2003/0087244).
- 4. With respect to claims 1 and 16, the publication of Warren et al. discloses a method and system for reconstructing a bioluminescent source distribution within an object (abstract, line 1) comprising imaging the object using a first imaging modality

Art Unit: 3737

(page 125, col. 1, lines 12-13) to produce a first reconstructed image (figure 2, image reconstructed on oscilloscope), mapping optical properties (optical properties found on page 123, section B, lines 1-4) of the object to the first reconstructed image (page 126, col. 1, lines 54-58, data mapped to pixels), and detecting optical signals emitted from the object using an optical imaging modality (page 124, col. 2, lines 15-17) to produce a bioluminescent source distribution (page 126, col. 1, lines 54-58; page 123 Monte Carlo radiative transfer model), based on the mapped optical properties (page 126, col. 1, lines 54-58). Warren et al. also discloses a library of optical properties of the object (page 122, col. 2, lines 45-47 and Table 1) and a processor for mapping the optical properties of the object to the first reconstructed image (PC, figure 2).

- 5. Warren et al. does not teach the first imaging modality is a tomographic imaging modality. However, ultrasound is a well known tomographic imaging modality (see definition of tomography). Warren et al. only teaches A-mode imaging however B-mode imaging (tomographic ultrasound) is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the imaging modality of Warren et al. to a tomographic imaging modality as a substitution of one imaging modality for another is well within the skill level of one of ordinary skill in the art.
- 6. Warren et al. does not teach detecting internally derived bioluminescent signals. However, McCarthy teaches detecting vascular disease by labeling the antibodies with fluorescent or bioluminescent compound (para. [0186-0188]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Art Unit: 3737

have provided Warren et al. with the bioluminescent compound as taught by McCarthy in order to aid in detecting arteriosclerosis.

- 7. With respect to claims 2 and 17, Warren et al. discloses wherein the first reconstructed image shows two or three dimensional structural details of the object (Amode image, page 125, col. 1, lines 12-13).
- 8. With respect to claims 3, 5, 9, 18, 20, and 24, Warren et al. discloses wherein the bioluminescent source distribution shows cross-sectional or volumetric views of the object or quantitative features of underlying source distributions of the object (page 122, col. 1, lines 27-30).
- 9. With respect to claims 4 and 19, Warren et al. discloses wherein the bioluminescent source distribution is reconstructed to represent multiple types of source distributions with various spectral characteristics (it is inherent that there would be multiple sources for a cross-sectional image to be reconstructed, page 122, col. 1, lines 27-30, furthermore, it is also inherent for the sources to have varying spectral characteristics, such as wavelengths).
- 10. With respect to claims 6 and 21, Warren et al. discloses wherein the bioluminescent source distribution is reconstructed using an iterative or analytical approach (page 123, section C).
- 11. With respect to claim 7 and 22, Warren et al. discloses wherein the step of detecting optical signals uses sensors (page 124, col. 2, lines 15-17).
- 12. With respect to claims 8 and 23, Warren et al. discloses wherein the step of detecting optical signals also uses optical path components (page 124, col. 2, lines 28-30).

Art Unit: 3737

13. With respect to claims 10 and 25, Warren et al. discloses wherein the optical properties include at least one of absorption coefficients, scattering coefficients, scattering anisotropy, indices of refraction, and features of underlying sources (page 123, section B, lines 1-4, and Table 1 shows scattering coefficients).

- 14. With respect to claims 11 and 26, Warren et al. discloses wherein the first imaging modality includes at least one of x-ray computed tomography, micro computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, and ultrasound (page 125, col. 1, lines 12-13).
- 15. With respect to claims 13 and 28, Warren et al. discloses segmenting the first reconstructed image into regions (A-mode imaging, page 125, col. 1, lines 12-13), wherein the step of mapping maps the optical properties to each segmented region of the image (page 126, col. 1, lines 54-58, mapped to pixels).
- 16. With respect to claim 14 and 29, Warren et al. discloses registering the first reconstructed image with the detected optical signals before producing the second reconstructed image (page 126, col. 1, lines 54-58).
- 17. With respect to claims 15 and 30, Warren et al. discloses wherein the step of registration uses a landmark-based method, a landmark free method, or an optical surface imager method (the catheter images the interior surface of an artery, page 125, col. 1, line 22-23).

Response to Amendment

The declaration filed on 8/11/2010 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Peter et al. reference.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11, 13-26, and 28-30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Luong whose telephone number is (571)270-1609. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:30 a.m. - 6:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (571) 272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BRIAN CASLER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3737

Art Unit: 3737

/P. L./ Examiner, Art Unit 3737